



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/719,327	327 11/21/2003		Kenneth F. Fennewald	7377-000005/US	2615		
28997	7590 ·	02/15/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	-	EY, & PIERCE,	FASTOVSKY, LEONID M				
	7700 BONHOMME, STE 400 ST. LOUIS, MO 63105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3742			
				D	_		

DATE MAILED: 02/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
		10/719,327	FENNEWALD ET AL.	e
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Leonid M Fastovsky	3742	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faile Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 TO SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. TO SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. TO period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication 0 (35 U.S.C. & 133)	on.
Status				
1)⊠ 2a)⊟ 3)⊟		action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		is
Disposit	ion of Ćlaims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12,15-18 and 24 is/ar Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11,13,14 and 19-23 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	e withdrawn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers _.			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 November 2004</u> is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square objected are along accepted in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) [Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachmen	t(s)			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

1. Receipt of Applicant's amendment filed on 11/13/04 is acknowledged.

Upon further consideration the Restriction/Election of claim 4 has been withdrawn and it is treated on its merits in this Office Action.

However, claim 12 is still withdrawn because it belongs to the non-elected species of Fig. 4.

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains an extraneous word "comprises". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 3-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hayashi et al (5,504,307).

Hayashi teaches a thin film heater comprising at least one resistive layer 5a, the resistive layer made out of NiCr (col. 5, lines 25-44), thus inherently having sufficient

Application/Control Number: 10/719,327 Page 3

Art Unit: 3742

temperature coefficient of resistance characteristics such that the resistive layer is a heater element and a temperature sensor, and a two-wire controller 61 connected to the resistive layer of the heater 51 (Fig. 17), wherein the controller determines temperature of the thin film heater using the resistance of the resistance layer 5a and controls the heater temperature accordingly.

As for claim 4, Hayashi teaches a thermally spayed heater (col. 1, lines 59-63).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-2, 11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashi in view of Little (6,043,467).

Hayashi discloses substantially the claimed invention comprising a heater system (Fig. 4) comprising a film heater defining a substrate 2, a dielectric layer 4, a resistive layer 5a, a protective layer 6, and a two-wire controller 61, but does not disclose a thick film heater. Little discloses a thick film heater having conductive track 3 made out of nickel (col. 3, lines 1-10) and a controller (col. 6, lines 1-10). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Hayashi's invention to include a thick film as taught by Little in order to carry control of the temperature in Hayasi's heater system.

7. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashi in view of Miyata et al (6,448,538).

Application/Control Number: 10/719,327

Art Unit: 3742

Hayashi teaches substantially the claimed invention, but does not teach a sol-gel heater and thermal spray. Miyata teaches a sol-gel heater (col. 10, lines 10-20) and thermal spray (col. 20, lines 36-44). it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Hayashi's invention to include a sol-gel heater in order to protect the exposed edge fro the outside covering with a ceramic film as taught by Miyata (col. 10, lines 12-17).

Page 4

8. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashi in view of Haas (6,770,848).

Hayshi teaches substantially the claimed invention, but does not teach a controller with DC and AC control. Haas teaches a film heater 50 comprising a controller 30 capable of operating with DC or AC control. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Hayashi's invention to use a controller with AC or DC control as taught by Haas in order to control calculation of the resistance of the resistive layer of Hayashi.

9. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashi in view of Lumsden (6,489,742).

Hayashi teaches substantially the claimed invention, but does not teach a controller having an angle firing and a shunt resistor. Lumsden teaches a controller 8 comprises an angle firing (col. 7, lines 1-10) and shunt resistor (claim 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Hayashi's invention to include a controller comprising an angle firing and a shunt resistor for controlling current as taught by Lumsden (col. 6, lines 55-67).

Application/Control Number: 10/719,327

Art Unit: 3742

10. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashi in view of Waggoner et al (6,752,491).

Hayashi teaches substantially the claimed invention, but does not teach a controller with firmware. Waggoner teaches a heater resistor having a controller 80 comprising firmware. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Hayashi's invention to include a controller comprising firmware in order to control various functions as taught by Waggoner (col. 2, lines 63-67).

Page 5

11. Claims 11 and 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashi in view of Godwin.

Hayashi discloses substantially the claimed invention including a controller, but does not disclose a microprocessor and a method of operating a layered heater. Godwin discloses a heater system comprising film heaters 63,65 and 67, a controller and a microprocessor (col. 7, lines 15-30). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Hayashi's invention to include a microprocessor as taught by Godwin in order to carry logic operation means (col. 7, lines 16-23) that are also applied to a method of operating a layer heater of Hayashi.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11, 13-14 and 19-23 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,327

Art Unit: 3742

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid M Fastovsky whose telephone number is 571-272-4778. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 8.00 am -6.00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leonid M Fastovsky

2/8/05

Examiner

Art Unit 3742

Imf

ROBIN O. EVANS PRIMARY EXAMINER